

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/609,153	Applicant(s) ALVAREZ-GOMARIZ ET AL.	
	Examiner Phallaka Kik	Art Unit 2825	

All Participants:

(1) Phallaka Kik.

(2) David C. Peterson (Reg. No. 47,857).

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 September 2005

Time: 3:45PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-61

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the claims are subject to restriction requirements, wherein invention I, claims 1-32, drawn to methods/machine readable medium/pattern generating system involving analyzing optical behavior within the ring-like region; invention II, claims 33-37, drawn to method for solving a system of equations to select a point within at least one of the intersecting regions; and invention III, claims 38-61, drawn to methods/machine readable medium involving identifying a number of second regions overlapping the first regions as part of the reticle forming/optical behavior analysis. These inventions are related as subcombinations usable together but have separate utility. Since the Examiner was unable to reach Applicant's Representative, this message was left on Applicant's Representative's voice box, requesting to call back to elect the invention. Since Applicant's Representative failed to respond, a written restriction will be given.